Economics DBS Flash

Asia Rates Strategy: Systemic vs Idiosyncratic drivers

Strategy/Rates

DBS Group Research

Duncan Tan Rates Strategist



Eugene Leow Rates Strategist



Please direct distribution queries to Violet Lee +6568785281 violetleevh@dbs.com

 Using a PCA-based approach, we set out to distinguish systemic from idiosyncratic factors and track their relative significance and impact in driving Asia interest rates.

September 16, 2021

- Our approach facilities the identification of other systemic factors (beyond US rates) and detection of divergences within Asia rates markets (due to idiosyncratic causes).
- Over the past 6 months, long-term US real rates have been the dominant driver for THB, MYR, IDR, PHP and CNY rates.
- KRW and INR rates have diverged from US/regional trends, because they have primarily been driven by local rate hike expectations.
- Emerging Markets risks and sentiments have a non-negligible impact on KRW, MYR and INR rates.



Asia interest rates markets are highly correlated through the sharing of several global and regional drivers. At the global level, factors such as Fed policy, US rates and the broad US Dollar are critical determinants. At the regional level, China's growth and demand trajectories, via the trade channel, can have a material impact on the growth and interest rates of other regional markets. Beyond common drivers and attributes, there is a fair amount of diversity (or idiosyncrasies) too, in terms of macro fundamentals and market characteristics.

Just to name a couple of key areas of differentiation. Some economies run structural current account surpluses that drives interest rates lower over the long term (South Korea, Singapore), while the deficit economies tend to maintain higher interest rates to attract external funding (Indonesia, India). Bond markets with high (>20%) foreign ownership levels are more sensitive to flows and global volatility (Indonesia, Malaysia), while markets with low (<5%) foreign ownership levels tend to be more driven by local factors (Philippines, India).

Our objectives and PCA

In this report, we set out to distinguish global/regional ("systemic") from individual market ("idiosyncratic") factors and track their relative significance and impact in driving Asia interest rates. Our approach leverages on the Principal Component Analysis ("PCA") technique which is frequently used across the interest rates space. PCA's informationmaximizing and dimension-reducing properties would be especially relevant and useful for our purposes and could also facilitate more insightful analysis.

Under most market environments and across most time periods, US interest rates should be expected to be the dominant driver of Asia interest rates. I.e. Variation in US rates should be able to explain a large portion of the variation in Asia rates. We believe that our approach can value-add in a few ways. One, identify Asia markets where the interest rates trajectory has diverged from US/regional trends, and examine idiosyncratic/local causes. Two, identify systemic factors beyond US rates that could be influential drivers of Asia rates, during certain time periods.

Constructing latent factors

Our approach utilizes 26-weeks rolling windows to construct principal components ("PCs" or "latent factors") from a cross-sectional timeseries of 5Y Asia swap rates (includes South Korea, Thailand, Malaysia, China, Philippines, India and Indonesia). By construction, each latent factor provides some unique information that is not provided by other latent factors. For Indonesia, because of liquidity reasons, we use bond yields instead of swap rates. We have excluded Singapore because Singapore rates track US rates to a large degree, a result of Singapore's open capital account and FX-based monetary policy.

Examining intra-regional dynamics

We then compute R-squared measures to represent the degree of covariance/comovement between 5Y Asia swap rates and our constructed PCs/latent factors (Table 1).

	1st	2nd	3rd	4th	5th	6th	7th
5Y Thailand	89%	5%	0%	1%	1%	4%	0%
5Y Korea	18%	57%	18%	5%	0%	0%	1%
5Y Malaysia	57%	1%	17%	24%	0%	0%	1%
5Y Indonesia	92%	0%	4%	0%	3%	1%	0%
5Y Philippines	83%	0%	0%	1%	0%	1%	15%
5Y India	8%	66%	16%	9%	0%	0%	0%
5Y China	71%	11%	1%	8%	0%	3%	6%
Average	60%	20%	8%	7%	1%	1%	3%

Table 1: R-squared between 5Y Asia rates and 1st-7th PCs/latent factors

We first observe that the 1st PC/latent factor can explain a large portion (>50%) of variation in five out of seven Asia swap markets, and therefore, should be inferred to be systemic in nature. We can also assume that the 1st PC/latent factor. to а large degree. incorporates variation in US rates, judging by the high degree of co-movement between the two. Therefore, we conjecture that the rally in Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia, Philippines and China interest rates have been predominantly driven by lower US rates.





However, the 1st PC/latent factor is clearly incapable of explaining variations in South Korea and India swap rates, suggesting that both rates have likely deviated from US/regional trends. For Korea and India rates, we deduce that idiosyncratic/local factors are likely more dominant. And these idiosyncratic/local factors could be represented by the 2nd and 3rd PCs/latent factors, which combine to explain 75-80% of variation in Korea and India rates.

Our observations so far are consistent with our understanding of fundamentals and developments across the various Asia rates markets. We will however caveat on 5Y China swap rates - A R-squared measure of 71% (vs 1st PC/latent factor) likely overstates the impact of systemic forces (US rates). Reason being, it is generally well-known that China interest rates are primarily driven by local factors (e.g. China's growth and fiscal/monetary policies) and therefore relatively less influenced by US rates. The high R-squared (71%) is likely because weaker Chinese growth momentum and PBOC easing (RRR cut in July) have driven China swap rates lower, and timing-wise, this has coincided with lower US rates.

Analysing macro and financial drivers of Asia interest rates

We also compile a list of global and regional macro and financial variables (around 200 and expanding) and compute their still covariances/co-movements (again, with Rsquared) against our PCs/latent factors (Table 2). Our goal is to pick out other systemic forces, beyond US interest rates, that could have had a significant impact on the trajectory of Asia interest rates. Equally important, we also hope to pick out variables that can help us gain some understanding of the 2nd and 3rd PCs/latent factors (which have driven the deviation of South Korea and India interest rates from US/regional trends). We acknowledge that correlation doesn't imply causation and would certainly sense-check any findings.

Looking at the 1st PC/latent factor, we observe that the R-squared measures are very high against many global macro and financial variables. This supports our earlier conjecture that the 1st PC/latent factor represents global systemic forces. We also observe that longterm (10Y, 30Y) US interest rates, specifically the real rates, are the most significant drivers of Asia rates over the last six months. Not shown in the table are US breakevens with Rsquared measures of less than 15%, suggesting that US inflation pricing has little to no impact on Asia rates trajectory. The high degree of comovement between Asia interest rates and US/global growth stocks (R-squared of 90%) is likely due to the common linkage to US real rates (lower US real rates tend to be supportive of growth stocks outperforming value stocks).

Table 2: R-squared between 1st-3rd PCs/latent factors and macro/financial variables

	1st		2nd		3rd
30Y US Rate - Real	97%	Crude Palm Oil	44%	10Y Poland Yield	55%
10Y US Rate - Nominal	93%	24M Policy Rate Pricing - Korea	43%	EM Sovereign Credit	39%
30Y US Rate - Nominal	92%	USDJPY	39%	EM FX - Carry	37%
10Y US Treasury Term Premium	92%	24M Policy Rate Pricing - India	38%	Global Risk Premium	36%
Fed Holdings of US Treasury	91%	12M Policy Rate Pricing - EZ	33%	Risk Appetite Index	36%
S&P500 - Growth Stocks	90%	Financial Stress Index - Credit	29%	Vols on 1M Treasury Options	35%
MSCI World - Growth Stocks	90%	24M Policy Rate Pricing - US	28%	USDCNH	34%
10Y US Rate - Real	87%	WTI Oil	26%	EM FX	30%
Prime MMF AUM	86%	US Political Risk	26%	NZD	30%
1Y CNY IRS	83%	Global Credit Risk	26%	Russia Political Risk	28%
10Y ZAR IRS	68%	12M Policy Rate Pricing - Thailan	25%	3M JPY-USD Basis	27%
Baltic Exchange Dry Index	67%	Russia Political Risk	24%	Industrial Metals	25%
Hang Seng	60%	Iron Ore	24%	Asia Dollar Index	25%
Commodity Index	57%	MSCI EM	23%	3M EUR-USD Basis	24%
China A50	55%	30Y JGB Yield	22%	Financial Stress Index - EM	24%
Brent Oil	51%	US IG Spread	22%	EM FX - Risk Appetite	23%
US Political Risk	47%	KOSPI 200	22%	USDRUB	20%
US Financial Conditions	41%	US HY Spread	20%	Copper	19%
EM Credit Spread (Agg, USD)	41%	Eurozone Financial Condition	18%	30Y US Breakeven	18%
Risk Appetite Index	32%	EM Credit Spread (Agg, USD)	17%	10Y US Breakeven	17%



Moving onto the 2nd and 3rd PCs/latent factors, we observe that the R-squared measures against global macro and financial variables are much lower, suggesting that both these PCs/latent factors are less global in nature.

For the 2nd PC/latent factor, we are unable to identify any clear themes that run across the variables. Recall, the 2nd PC/latent factor can explain 57% of the variation in 5Y Korea rates and 66% of the variation in 5Y India rates, but less than 10% of the variation for other Asia rates. i.e. the 2nd PC/latent factor represents certain attributes that are shared by Korea and India rates only, and has little relevance for other Asia rates. We think that this "shared attributes" could revolve around the timing/pace of rate hike cycles, where Bank of Korea (BOK) and Reserve Bank of India (RBI) are expected to significantly lead the Fed and other Asia central banks. BOK had already done their first hike last month, and more hikes could be forthcoming to rein in financial stability risks relating to high household debt levels. With inflation continuing to print above-target and economic recovery taking hold, there are some market expectations for RBI to start raising the Reverse Repo policy rate at the December meeting. On the other hand, the Fed and other Asia central banks are not expected to achieve rate lift-off until late-2022/early-2023, at the earliest. Therefore, based on this line of reasoning, we think that the 2nd PC/latent factor essentially represents South Korea and India's rate hike expectations/pricings.

When we look at the 3rd PC/latent factor, a clear theme runs across the variables with high co-movement. And that is Emerging Markets risks and sentiments. Therefore, it is probably not surprising that the 3rd PC/latent factor can explain a non-negligible portion (15-20%) of the variations in 5Y Korea, Malaysia and India swap rates. These three rates markets tend to be relatively more sensitive to external risks -Korea through its economic leverage to global growth/trade cycles, Malaysia through high foreign bond ownership levels and India though its sensitivity to oil prices (impacts fiscal balance and domestic inflation). One notable omission from the group is Indonesia, which historically has been quite dependent on external funding. We think that Bank Indonesia's bond purchases, in recent times, have likely provided a strong anchor for Indonesia rates. As a result, Indonesia rates have become less sensitive to external risks, compared to pre-COVID (see IDR Rates: "Burden-sharing" a positive for IndoGB).

Future plans

Through this report, we have demonstrated that the PCA technique can be used to break down and gain insights on the different drivers of Asia interest rates, in an objective and quantifiable manner. We aim to repeat this exercise on a quarterly basis to spot changes in regional trends/dynamics and track the relative importance of drivers across different time periods. In addition, short-term models can be built to spot instances where Asia rates have over or undershot key drivers.



Group Research

Economics & Macro Strategy

Taimur Baig, Ph.D. Chief Economist Global taimurbaig@dbs.com

Chang Wei Liang FX & Credit Strategist Global weiliangchang@dbs.com

Nathan Chow Senior Economist China, Hong Kong SAR nathanchow@dbs.com

Chua Han Teng, CFA Economist Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand, Vietnam <u>hantengchua@dbs.com</u>

> Violet Lee Associate Publications violetleeyh@dbs.com

Eugene Leow Senior Rates Strategist G3 & Asia eugeneleow@dbs.com

Chris Leung Chief Economist China, Hong Kong SAR <u>chrisleung@dbs.com</u> Ma Tieying, CFA Economist Japan, South Korea, Taiwan <u>matieying@dbs.com</u>

Radhika Rao Senior Economist Eurozone, India, Indonesia radhikarao@dbs.com

> Irvin Seah Senior Economist Singapore irvinseah@dbs.com

Daisy Sharma Analyst Data Analytics daisy@dbs.com

Duncan Tan Rates Strategist Asia duncantan@dbs.com

Samuel Tse Economist China, Hong Kong SAR samueltse@dbs.com

Philip Wee Senior FX Strategist Global philipwee@dbs.com

Sources: Data for all charts and tables are from CEIC, Bloomberg and DBS Group Research (forecasts and transformations)

GENERAL DISCLOSURE/ DISCLAIMER (For Macroeconomics, Currencies, Interest Rates)

The information herein is published by DBS Bank Ltd and PT Bank DBS Indonesia (collectively, the "DBS Group"). It is based on information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but the Group does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to its accuracy, completeness, timeliness or correctness for any particular purpose. Opinions expressed are subject to change without notice. Any recommendation contained herein does not have regar d to the specific investment objectives, financial situation & the particular needs of any specific addressee. The information herein is published for the information of addressees only & is not to be taken in substitution for the exercise of judgement by addressees, who should obtain separate legal or financial advice. The Group, or any of its related companies or any individuals connected with the group accepts no liability for any direct, special, indirect, consequential, incidental damages or any other loss or damages of any kind arising from any use of the information herein (including any error, omissio n or misstatement herein, negligent or otherwise) or further communication thereof, even if the Group or any other person has been advised of the possibility the reof. The information herein is not to be construed as an offer or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any securities, futures, options or other interests in, & may effect transactions in securities mentioned herein & may also perform or seek to perform broking, investment banking & other banking or financial services for these companies. The information to, or use by, any person or entity in any jurisdiction or country where such distribution or use would be construed to may escified.

DBS Bank Ltd., 12 Marina Blvd, Marina Bay Financial Center Tower 3, Singapore 018982. Tel: 65-6878-8888. Company Registration No. 196800306E. DBS Bank Ltd., Hong Kong Branch, a company incorporated in Singapore with limited liability. 18th Floor, The Center, 99 Queen's Road Central, Central, Hong Kong SAR. PT Bank DBS Indonesia, DBS Bank Tower, 33rd floor, Ciputra World 1, Jalan Prof. Dr. Satrio Kav 3-5, Jakarta, 12940, Indonesia. Tel: 62-21-2988-4000. Company Registration No. 09.03.1.64.96422

