## **Economics**

# China: more inclusive urbanization policies

**DBS Group Research** 

13 April 2015

- Push factors need to be strengthened
- Land reforms and residency / 'hukou' reforms must proceed simultaneously
- Urbanization must put more emphasis on efficiency and equality

Thirty years ago, fewer than 200 million people lived in China's cities and towns. Today, urban dwellers number 700 million. That growth represented one of the largest waves of human migration in history, supplying the manpower behind China's export-driven economic boom.

Urbanization has long been a central policy of the government. The target of a 60 percent urbanization rate by 2020 will require a 1.1% increase in the annual urbanization rate on average, compared with 1.0% over 1978-2013. This is a tall order under current policy constraints.

Firstly, rapid urbanization in the past was largely driven by pull-factors, such as employment opportunities in cities and large urban-rural wage gaps. But these gaps have narrowed in recent years. Going forward, push factors will play a bigger role. That will entail a complex array of socio-economic factors. For instance, the benefits of rural residency – key among which is being allocated a plot of land for farming – sometimes outweigh the benefits of migrating. A survey by the Sichuan province Bureau of Statistics [1] found that 90% of migrant workers didn't want an urban hukou. This is understandable, as under existing laws, farmers are unable to transfer or sell their rural land use rights even if they have moved to urban areas. It gives them little monetary incentive or financial resources to migrate.

Secondly, the old form of urbanization bore many side-effects. Many of the more attractive cities were over-crowded and unprepared for the influx of new-comers. The three biggest cities in China held 14% of the country's population on less than 3% of national land area. Public goods were stretched and social problems deepened as slums grew with urban sprawl. The unequal treatment of migrant workers – which made up about one third of the total urban population – was another cause of social discontent. Under the household registration system, migrant workers with a rural hukou were not entitled to the same social welfare that was available to residents registered as "urban". Without that safety net, the need for high precautionary savings crimped workers' consumption potential. The tough conditions deterred some rural dwellers from moving to urban areas.

These sorts of problems do not facilitate economic growth. To address such issues, urbanization under the new normal must give more emphasis to efficiency and equality, particularly through hukou reforms and land reforms.

The benefits of rural residency sometimes outweigh the benefits of migrating to urban areas

Chris Leung • (852) 3668 5694 • chrisleung@dbs.com



In the area of hukou reforms, the government has set criteria that migrants coming into cities must meet before they can apply for local resident status – the larger the city, the more stringent the criteria. This serves to minimize overcrowding in larger cities and encourage the development of smaller cities. In coming years, local governments are expected to go further and scrap rural-urban distinctions. Unified registration would lead to fairer access to social welfare benefits and improve labor rural-urban mobility. In July 2014, the State Council advised that rural-urban distinctions under the household registration system be scrapped, attempting to end a practice adopted since 1958. In November 2014, Henan, Heilongjiang and Hebei provinces and Xinjiang Ugyur autonomous region scrapped their rural-urban hukou distinctions.

A pilot plan is underway to reduce land expropriation and gradually increase the marketisation of collectively-owned land Land reforms are also gathering pace. A pilot plan is underway to reduce land expropriation and gradually increase the marketisation of collectively-owned rural construction land in counties and county-level cities. This follows unofficial experiments of property rights transfers by some cities. Shenzhen has already conducted a collective-owned rural land transaction in the open market in December 2013, which sets an example of what land reforms will look like in the coming decade.

Under existing laws, rural land is owned by village collectives, and the associated land use rights can be transferred in the market only after it is expropriated by the government and converted to state-owned urban land. In Shenzhen's case, collectively-owned rural land was directly leased to bidders, skipping the land expropriation process altogether. If this simplified procedure were implemented nationwide, urban land supply would increase, facilitating the urbanization process. Meanwhile, reducing land expropriation could ease social injustices arising from the process whereby local governments expropriate rural land from rural collectives at below-market prices. As farmers receive more proceeds under the proposed new system, they would have more financial freedom to choose between migrating to urban areas and staying behind to improve their living standards in the countryside.

### **Notes**

[1] "2014 Sichuan province migrant workers survey report", Sichuan Provincial Bureau of Statistics, 25 July 2014



# **Recent Research**

TH: further cuts unlikely	13 Apr 15	Global crude: low for long?	19 Jan 15
Asia cyclical dashboard: an eerie calm	8 Apr 15	IN: Falling oil prices – good or bad?	19 Jan 15
IN: Policy to be data dependent	7 Apr 15	IN: RBI cuts rates, more to come	15 Jan 15
SGD: Making room for volatility	6 Apr 15	CN: Restarting SOE reforms	13 Jan 15
CN: Recalibrating monetary policy	2 Apr 15	JP: mixed impact of low oil prices	12 Jan 15
ID: Tax targets are too optimistic	1 Apr 15	UST yields: Downward distortions	12 Jan 15
CNH: The growing influence of yuan settlement in forex reserves	31 Mar 15	Asia: vulnerability dashboard	19 Dec 14
CN: Fiscal reforms to accelerate	27 Mar 15	Qtrly: Economics-Markets-Strategy 1Q15	10 Dec 14
IN: Assessing RBI priorities	24 Mar 15	CNH: To break fresh ground in 2015	17 Nov 14
	19 Mar 15	CNH: Conversion cap finally lifted	12 Nov 14
KR: Wither export competitiveness		JP: The impact of QQE2	7 Nov 14
CNH: A freer China	17 Mar 15	CN: Significant easing remains unlikely	5 Nov 14
Qtrly: Economics-Markets-Strategy 2Q15	12 Mar 15	Asia export race: Who's winning?	30 Oct 14
IN budget: Growth trumps fiscal goals	2 Mar 15	iD: Watching external debt	30 Oct 14
ID: No shift in BI's tight policy bias	27 Feb 15	_	
SG budget: Shaping Singapore's future	24 Feb 15	MY: Assessing the GST impact	29 Oct 14
India budget: A balancing act	17 Feb 15	IN: Reviving manufacturing	28 Oct 14
US: Substantial deflation here, now	16 Feb 15	HK-ASEAN trade prospects	24 Oct 14
SG: Jubilee budget 2015	10 Feb 15	JP: Policy intentions lack clarity	24 Oct 14
USD Rates: Market vs Fed	10 Feb 15	HK: Initial impact of Occupy Central	16 Oct 14
		SG: Growth struggles continue	14 Oct 14
CN: The need for a better unemployment gauge	e 4 Feb 15	IN: Inflation and shifting policy dynamics	10 Oct 14
IN: Facing ECB QE and a strong dollar	30 Jan 15	KR: Weakness exaggerated	9 Oct 14
Asia: Are currencies too strong?	28 Jan 15	EZ: Rates are firmly anchored	9 Oct 14
ID: Delivery is key	28 Jan 15	•	
SG: Old problem, new approaches	27 Jan 15	SG: Restructuring pain	8 Oct 14

### **Disclaimer:**

The information herein is published by DBS Bank Ltd (the "Company"). It is based on information obtained from sources believed to be reliable, but the Company does not make any representation or warranty, express or implied, as to its accuracy, completeness, timeliness or correctness for any particular purpose. Opinions expressed are subject to change without notice. Any recommendation contained herein does not have regard to the specific investment objectives, financial situation and the particular needs of any specific addressee. The information herein is published for the information of addressees only and is not to be taken in substitution for the exercise of judgement by addressees, who should obtain separate legal or financial advice. The Company, or any of its related companies or any individuals connected with the group accepts no liability for any direct, special, indirect, consequential, incidental damages or any other loss or damages of any kind arising from any use of the information herein (including any error, omission or misstatement herein, negligent or otherwise) or further communication thereof, even if the Company or any other person has been advised of the possibility thereof. The information herein is not to be construed as an offer or a solicitation of an offer to buy or sell any securities, futures, options or other financial instruments or to provide any investment advice or services. The Company and its associates, their directors, officers and/or employees may have positions or other interests in, and may effect transactions in securities mentioned herein and may also perform or seek to perform broking, investment banking and other banking or financial services for these companies. The information herein is not intended for distribution to, or use by, any person or entity in any jurisdiction or country where such distribution or use would be contrary to law or regulation.